



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/856,969	)	08/01/2001	Chantal Cayuela	33339/234602	5142	
826	75	90 05/07/2004		EXAMINER		
		SIRD LLP	HINES, JANA A			
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000			E 4000	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
CHARL	OTTE,	NC 28280-4000		1645		
				DATE MAILED: 05/07/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/856,969	CAYUELA ET AL.	•					
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Ja-Na Hines	1645						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE FILE FOR THE PLACE TO	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ition in					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office mely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the appropertion of the fee. The appropertionally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of							
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be								
(a) A they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	,·							
<ul><li>(c)</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .								
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject</li></ol>	ion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment					
5.  ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	Γ place the					
5. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	enewly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ind an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: None.								
Claim(s) objected to: None.								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 10-12</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		,					
0. ☐ Other:								
PRIMARY EXAMINER 5404	· 	a nacket	SHOY					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

**Advisory Action** 

Part of Paper No. 20040503

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment will not be entered because it does not place the application in better form by reducing or simplifying the issues. The entery of the amendment would raise issues that require further consideration and/ or search. Moreover, the amendment does not completely overcome the scope of enablement rejection. The rejection was on the grounds that while being enabling for a method for in vitro regulation of the inflammatory response of enterocytes, said method comprising contacting said enterocytes with a composition containing as an active agent a lactic acid bacteria strain L. casei CNCM I-1518 in a dose dependent manner, being capable of decreasing the production of Nitric Oxide (NO) by cultures of enterocytes preactivated with Cytomix combination of pro-inflammatory cytokines and bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) on colon carcinoma cell lines does not reasonably provide enablement for the claimed method. The after final amendment fails to address the in vitro and in vivo distinction either by amendment or by argument. Therefore applicants' failed to overcome the rejection despite applicants belief to the contrary, thus the rejection is maintained. It is also noted that the instant claims should be reviewed for subject verb agreement, see specifically the plural noun and singular verb.